

Tidbits of Crisis Management in Sweden

Lars-Johan Liman
Senior Systems Specialist
Netnod Internet Exchange

Euro-IX Meeting Oslo 2010-09-27

The Beginning

- ▶ January 2005: the hurricane “Gudrun” hit southern Sweden.
- ▶ Took down 70 million m³ of forrest.
- ▶ 340,000 people lost power,
- ▶ ... and telephone,
- ▶ ... and the cell phone system went down as well.
- ▶ That is what we call ...

The Awakening

- ▶ The guys fixing the cellphone base stations needed power.
- ▶ The guys fixing the power systems needed cellphone coverage.
- ▶ People in general needed both power and cellphone coverage and were getting noticeably upset
- ▶ The days went by ...
 - 40 days to restore power to all homes!

Aftermath

- ▶ The Swedish Post and Telecom Agency (PTS) decided:
 - Create new group for national communications cooperation (NTSG)
 - Create new central system for damage assessment and information (GLU)
 - Roaming SIM cards for key persons
 - Conduct crisis exercises for authorities and industry

NTSG

- ▶ High-level executives from industry and authorities who have been empowered to make decisions.
- ▶ Prepared communication systems.
 - ... and meeting places.
- ▶ Decides on resource allocation during crisis, with main focus on quick over-all restoration of systems.

GLU

- ▶ Web system with maps that shows “current state of service” for involved operators.
 - POTS
 - Cell-phone
 - Power
 - (Where’s the Internet?)
- ▶ Service operators have direct access
- ▶ Public and private parts

Roaming SIM cards

- ▶ SIM cards to be used only in crises.
- ▶ Will use any available cell networks regardless of operator.
 - Similar to “112” service.
- ▶ To be used by selected service and repair personnel.

Telö-09

- ▶ National Telecoms Exercise 2009
 - 2 day event in May 2009
- ▶ 18 participating organisations:
 - Telecom operators
 - Power companies
 - Internet service providers
 - Government agencies
 - Defence agencies
 - City administrations
 - ... and an Internet Exchange point called Netnod.

What's a Crisis Exercise?

- ▶ It's a "role play"
- ▶ A scenario is rolled out.
- ▶ Conducted by a central management group,
- ▶ ... with small local "extensions" at the various organisations.

The Central Management Group

- ▶ Provides the scenario.
 - 13 events, 84 incidents, 697 injects
- ▶ Fills the roles of all players that don't participate.
- ▶ Acts as media.
- ▶ Turns the system clock.
 - Software: Exonaut
- ▶ Security monitoring.

The Local Management Team

- ▶ Local staff.
- ▶ Provides input to the scenario.
- ▶ Creates injects based on scenario and local environment.
- ▶ Sets up the local environment.
- ▶ Executes the exercise locally.
 - Coordinates with the central team.
- ▶ Evaluates the result locally.
- ▶ Reports result back to the central team.

The Scenario

- ▶ Coordinated and orchestrated terror attack, e.g.,
 - Communications bunkers powerless and blocked.
 - Power stations taken out.
 - Bomb threat at major airport.
 - Vital fiber cross connect demolished.
 - Insider puts incorrect DNS data in .SE rendering local DNS useless.
 - Cracker attacks on routers.

Training What?

- ▶ Decision making
 - Technical decisions
 - Chain of command
- ▶ Cooperation between
 - Providers
 - Authorities
- ▶ Communication with the public
 - through press and other media

Preparations

- ▶ Go through entire Exonaut to see what affected us.
- ▶ “Fake” monitoring system.
- ▶ Normal ticket system with marked tickets.
- ▶ Special telephone numbers.
 - Note: the central team only thought of telephone and fax for communication!
- ▶ Food and drink!
- ▶ Logging.

Experiences

- ▶ Participate with a small organisation = problems.
 - Local team = me, myself, and I.
 - + one seriously qualified admin person thankfully provided by PTS.
- ▶ Regulators have limited understanding of how the Internet works.
 - I had to step into the central scenario group to help prevent some embarrassment.

Experiences/conclusions

- ▶ Technically our staff did very well.
 - Correct analysis and fault isolation.
 - Good prioritisation.
 - Proper actions.
- ▶ Interaction/cooperation with others
 - Did OK, but the scenario required only limited interaction from us.
- ▶ Sustainability is a problem for small organisations.

The grenade ...

- ▶ “Other organisation” kicked in an inject that wasn’t sync:ed with me.
 - Improvised ...
 - Staff drew unexpected conclusions.

Experiences/conclusions

- ▶ Senior staff at conference abroad.
(Really!)
 - Deemed untrustworthy by local staff.
 - All their access to company systems revoked.
 - Telephone messages considered non-authoritative.
- ▶ Chain of command got really interesting...

Finally

- ▶ Good learning experience!
- ▶ Preparations = a lot of work.
- ▶ More process than technology.
 - ... but that's what you need to train. :-)
- ▶ The really good experiences come from the unexpected.
- ▶ Great fun!
- ▶ Next time – September 2011
 - Preparations underway ...

Questions?